

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,141	07/30/2003	Craig Chidlow	P-2256	1824
24214	7590 05/0	3/2005	EXAMINER	
JAMES D		LUM VANNUCCI, LEE SIN YEE		
	ERDELL STREET , CA 94611-1742		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,141	CHIDLOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lee Lum	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 January 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4, 6-8						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>all</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and all all all all all all all all all al						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Palent and Trademark Office	<del></del>	<u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,141 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-19 in the reply filed on 1/19/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that "Claims 3, 4, 7 and 8 were not considered generic with respect to Invention 1".

Upon reconsideration, Examiner agrees with the Applicant, and rejoins these Claims. These claims recite a centrifugal clutch, including a sliding member, which is generic to Invention I, a trailer dolly.

Therefore, Claims 5, 9 and 20-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Lastly, the restriction requirement is considered proper, and is therefore made FINAL.

(Applicant also remarks "[n]one of Claims 1-19 recite more than a single wheel". However, Claim 1 recites "at least one wheel", emphasis added.)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bueckner Sr 3865204.

Bueckner discloses a trailer dolly comprising

Internal combustion engine 2, wheels 7,

Transmission including forward and reverse drives (c5, ln 65, to c6, ln 2),

Clutch 15 (c5, In 30-33),

Trailer coupler 4,5 coupled to one wheel such that the wheel can support a trailer at the trailer coupler.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,141 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- A. Claims 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Hayata 4898508.

Bueckner does not disclose the clutch as centrifugal, including a sliding member, while Hayata shows centrifugal clutch 63 including

sliding dog clutch 74 (c4, ln 3-4), which engages forward/reverse drives 71/73 (fig 7, and c4, last paragraph, to c5, first paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this arrangement, as shown in Hayata, as a very well-known clutch to provide reliable operation, thus decreasing cost, and maintaining reliability. It is clear that this type of clutch is employed in various types of vehicles.

B. Claims 10, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Hayata, and in further view of Kyle 1250903.

The previous references do not disclose the clutch as employing chains, while Kyle shows

sliding dog clutch 8 engaging forward sprocket 21, thus driving forward chain 23, or, engaging reverse sprocket 17, thus driving reverse chain 18.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this very well-known arrangement, as shown in Kyle, as another clutch configuration for a particular application, thus decreasing costs, and maintaining reliable operation.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,141

Art Unit: 3611

C. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Hayata and Kyle, and in further view of Artzberger 5149225.

The previous references do not disclose forward/reverse pulleys and belts, while Artzberger shows this configuration in fig 1 with pulleys 20, 21, and V-shaped belts 18, 19. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this alternate band configuration, as shown in Artzberger, to decrease weight and maintenance, thus increase proper operation and convenience. This configuration is very well-known in the art.

D. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Hayata, Kyle, and Artzberger, and in further view of Kell 4221272.

The previous references do not disclose notched belts, while Kell shows this type of belt in c3, In 50-52. While it is clear that the shape of the belt does not affect the proper operation of the invention, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this type of belt, as shown in Kell, to correspond to similarly-shaped driving members for a particular application, thus ensure proper rotation of the respective components.

E. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Hayata and Kyle, and in further view of Keim et al 2703161.

The previous references do not disclose a drive reduction including two rotating members, and a band, while Keim shows this configuration with

Low drive including gears 32, 44 with chain 50, and, High drive with gears 34, 48 and chain 52.

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this arrangement, as shown in Keim, as a well-known reduction drive for small vehicles, thus decreasing costs, and increasing reliable operation. It is obvious that there are various, and well-known, types of reduction drives, and the particular type does not affect the proper operation of the respective invention.

Art Unit: 3611

F. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bueckner in view of Cline 4318448.

Bueckner discloses all recited elements, but does not disclose a lifting handle. Cline shows this component C, with the motor 40 and wheels 20 located at a center of gravity. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include this configuration, as shown in Cline, to allow the user to easily, and safely, maneuver the balanced dolly around a towed vehicle, thus increase safety and convenience.

4. The prior art made of record, and not relied upon, is considered pertinent to the disclosure: Koch 6758291, MacKew 3831694, Shock 3705638, Eschenbach et al 3618722, Picard 2634619.

## 5. Communication with USPTO/Examiner

Any inquiry concerning this communication, or others, should be directed to Ms. Lum at 571 272-6649, M-F, 9-5. If she cannot be reached, her supervisor, Ms. Morris, may be reached at 571 272-6651. Our fax number is 703 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For unpublished applications - private PAIR only. For published applications - private or public PAIR. For more information re PAIR - http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Questions re private PAIR - contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866 217-9197.

Ms. Lee S. Lum

Examiner 4/12/05